

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,047	02/08/2006	Mark Geach	613-96	3193
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 · 05/08/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PESELEV, ELLI		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/537,047	GEACH, MARK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elli Peselev	1623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	arch 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
<u> </u>						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	Ř				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).			
-/.	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
		•	on No			
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		·				
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/537,047

Art Unit: 1623

; 🚱

Page 2

Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. there is no support in the specification, as originally filed, for preventing wound healing as stated in claim 16.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by the terminology "A for the treatment" (claim 31).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation Application/Control Number: 10/537,047

incontrol Number. 10/557,0

Art Unit: 1623

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16-20 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rorstad et al (EP 0 466 037) or Engstad et al (WO 95/30022).

Each of Rorstad et al and Engstad et al discloses administration of glucans to aquatic animals. Prevention of inflammation and/or wound healing would have been inherent from such an administration.

Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rorstad et al (EP 0 466 037) or Engstad et al (WO 95/30022) in combination with Hayen et al (WO 95/04467)

Each of Rostad et al and Engstad et al discloses administration of glucans to aquatic animals but do not disclose such administration in combination with vitamin C or a bactericidal agent. However, since administration of glucans in combination with vitamin C and antibiotics was well known in the art at the time of the present invention as disclosed by Hayen et al, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made would have been motivated to add vitamin C and an antibiotic to glcans and administer said composition to aquatic animals in order to treat a bacterial infection which can result from a wound.

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,047

Art Unit: 1623

Applicants contend that EP'037 and WO'22 do not disclose a method of treatment of inflammation or wound healing. This argument has not been found persuasive since the present claims are not limited to the treatment of inflammation or wound healing but also encompass prevention of inflammation and/or wound healing. Since each of EP'037 and WO'22 discloses administration of glucans to aquatic animals, prevention of inflammation or wound healing would have been inherent in such administration.

Claims 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuse et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,084,386) in view of Rorstad et al (EP 0 466 037).

Tuse et al disclose that glucans can be used for wound healing (column 3, lines 38-52) but do not disclose administration of glucans to aquatic animals. However, since administration of glucans to aquatic animals was well known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made as disclosed by Rorstad et al, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention would have been motivated to administer glucans to aquatic animals for the purpose of wound healing.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1623

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (İN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev